

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,056	11/04/2003	Charles J. Zapiec	AEIPT01D1	5562	
30332	7590 02/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JENNIFER MEREDITH MEREDITH & KEYHANI			RUDY, ANDREW J		
81 LINWOOI	· · · · -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
BUFFALO, 1	VY 14209		3627		
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/04/200	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-or Summan	10/701,056	ZAPIEC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Joseph Ru					
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however mmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minim a statutory period will apply and will expire SI. ply will, by statute, cause the application to b is after the mailing date of this communication	er, may a reply be timely filed sum of thirty (30) days will be considered time X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final					
<u>'=</u>						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
· _	the application					
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rate withdrawn from considerat	IOII.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest		ent				
,	monor anaror diddirent requirem	one.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
Applicant may not request that any ob	•	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	= ;		• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•					
 Certified copies of the priori 	ty documents have been receiv	ed.				
Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receiv	ed in Application No				
Copies of the certified copie	s of the priority documents hav	e been received in this National	Stage			
application from the Internat	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the certified cop	ies not received.				
Attachment(s)	டா	41 A (DEC				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 		terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Patent Application (PTother:	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/701,056 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bedell et al., US 6,622,128.
- 3. Bedell discloses monitoring Internet activity performed by a user client at a terminal comprising a client identifier, e.g. Fig. 3. Bedell does not specifically disclose recording the completion time of the Internet activity by a client matter identifier and a client identifier on behalf of a client and generating a bill and a report based, in part, on a session record.

Official Notice is taken that recording a start/finish time of an Internet activity by a user on behalf of a client and generating a bill and a report based at least, in part, on a session record was well known and common knowledge in the computer usage consulting art far predating Applicant's filing date.

For example, hiring out a private patent searcher to use a computer database, e.g. an Automated Patent System (APS) provided by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) or an Internet web site, e.g. Google or Yahoo, encompasses in scope and content Applicant's inventive idea.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,056 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Using APS to log on/off times of usage while recording search terms used and documents retrieved while providing a searcher tools to create a search report for a client, e.g. a private entity, a corporation or law firm, having both a client matter identifier and a client identifier, was well known and common knowledge in the computer usage consulting art far predating Applicant's filing date.

To have provided recording the start/finish time of Internet activity by a user on behalf of a client and generate a bill and a report based at least, in part, on a session record for Bedell would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for doing such would have been to have provided common knowledge report and billing data presented by a user on behalf of a client identifier and client matter identifier as such was common knowledge in the computer usage and consulting art.

4. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement received November 4, 2003 is noted. However, it is not in proper form. Nonetheless, to avoid needless delay, all the documents cited are included on the aforementioned PTO-892.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627